



**PENERAPAN TRANSFER LEARNING DAN BILSTM DALAM
MEMPREDIKSI HARGA LOGAM DI PASAR KOMODITAS
BERJANGKA**

TUGAS AKHIR

Adji Pratomo
41518210036

UNIVERSITAS
MERCU BUANA

PROGRAM STUDI TEKNIK INFORMATIKA

FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER

UNIVERSITAS MERCU BUANA

JAKARTA

2022



**PENERAPAN TRANSFER LEARNING DAN BILSTM DALAM
MEMPREDIKSI HARGA LOGAM DI PASAR KOMODITAS
BERJANGKA**

Tugas Akhir

Diajukan Untuk Melengkapi Salah Satu Syarat
Memperoleh Gelar Sarjana Komputer

Oleh:

Adji Pratomo
41518210036

PROGRAM STUDI TEKNIK INFORMATIKA
FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER
UNIVERSITAS MERCU BUANA
JAKARTA
2022

LEMBAR PERNYATAAN ORISINALITAS

LEMBAR PERNYATAAN ORISINALITAS

Yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini:

NIM : 41518210036

Nama : Adji Pratomo

Judul Tugas Akhir : Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam
Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka.

Menyatakan bahwa Laporan Tugas Akhir saya adalah hasil karya sendiri dan bukan plagiat. Apabila ternyata ditemukan didalam laporan Tugas Akhir saya terdapat unsur plagiat, maka saya siap untuk mendapatkan sanksi akademik yang terkait dengan hal tersebut.

Jakarta, 22 Juli 2022



Adji Pratomo

UNIVERSITAS
MERCU BUANA

SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI TUGAS AKHIR

SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI TUGAS AKHIR

Sebagai mahasiswa Universitas Mercu Buana, saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama Mahasiswa : Adji Pratomo
NIM : 41518210036
Judul Tugas Akhir : Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka.

Dengan ini memberikan izin dan menyetujui untuk memberikan kepada Universitas Mercu Buana **Hak Bebas Royalti Noneksklusif** (*None-exclusive Royalty Free Right*) atas karya ilmiah saya yang berjudul diatas beserta perangkat yang ada (jika diperlukan).

Dengan Hak Bebas Royalti/Noneksklusif ini Universitas Mercu Buana berhak menyimpan, mengalihmedia/formatkan, mengelola dalam bentuk pangkalan data (*database*), merawat dan mempublikasikan tugas akhir saya.

Selain itu, demi pengembangan ilmu pengetahuan di lingkungan Universitas Mercu Buana, saya memberikan izin kepada Peneliti di Lab Riset Fakultas Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Mercu Buana untuk menggunakan dan mengembangkan hasil riset yang ada dalam tugas akhir untuk kepentingan riset dan publikasi selama tetap mencantumkan nama saya sebagai penulis/pencipta dan sebagai pemilik Hak Cipta.

Demikian pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sebenarnya.

Jakarta, 22 Juli 2022



Adji Pratomo

SURAT PERNYATAAN LUARAN TUGAS AKHIR

SURAT PERNYATAAN LUARAN TUGAS AKHIR

Sebagai mahasiswa Universitas Mercu Buana, saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama Mahasiswa : Adji Pratomo
NIM : 41518210036
Judul Tugas Akhir : Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka

Menyatakan bahwa :

1. Luaran Tugas Akhir saya adalah sebagai berikut :

No	Luaran	Jenis	Status
1	Publikasi Ilmiah	Jurnal Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi	Diajukan ✓
		Jurnal Nasional Terakreditasi	
		Jurnal International Tidak Bereputasi	Diterima
		Jurnal International Bereputasi ✓	
Disubmit/dipublikasikan di :	Nama Jurnal : Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing (IASC) ISSN : 2326-005X (online) Link Jurnal : https://www.techscience.com/journal/iasc Link File Jurnal Jika Sudah di Publish :		

- Bersedia untuk menyelesaikan seluruh proses publikasi artikel mulai dari submit, revisi artikel sampai dengan dinyatakan dapat diterbitkan pada jurnal yang dituju.
- Diminta untuk melampirkan scan KTP dan Surat Pernyataan (Lihat Lampiran Dokumen HKI), untuk kepentingan pendaftaran HKI apabila diperlukan.

Demikian pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sebenarnya.

Mengetahui
Dosen Pembimbing TA

Rahmat Budiarto, Dr. Prof

Jakarta, 30 Agustus 2022



Adji Pratomo

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN

Nama Mahasiswa : Adji Pratomo
NIM : 41518210036
Judul Tugas Akhir : Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam
Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas
Berjangka.

Tugas Akhir ini telah diperiksa dan disetujui

Jakarta, 22 Juli 2022

Menyetujui,



(Rahmat Budiarto, Dr. Prof)
Dosen Pembimbing

UNIVERSITAS
MERCU BUANA

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PENGUJI

NIM : 41518210036
Nama : Adji Pratomo
Judul Tugas Akhir : Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka.

Tugas Akhir ini telah diperiksa dan disidangkan sebagai salah satu persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana pada Program Studi Teknik Informatika, Fakultas Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Mercu Buana.

Jakarta, 13 Agustus 2022



(Saruni Dwiasniati, ST, MM, M.Kom)

Penguji 1

UNIVERSITAS
MERCU BUANA

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PENGUJI

NIM : 41518210036
Nama : Adji Pratomo
Judul Tugas Akhir : Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka.

Tugas Akhir ini telah diperiksa dan disidangkan sebagai salah satu persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana pada Program Studi Teknik Informatika, Fakultas Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Mercu Buana.

Jakarta, 13 Agustus 2022



(Umniv Salamah, ST., MMST)

Penguji 2

UNIVERSITAS
MERCU BUANA

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PENGUJI

NIM : 41518210036
Nama : Adji Pratomo
Judul Tugas Akhir : Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka.

Tugas Akhir ini telah diperiksa dan disidangkan sebagai salah satu persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana pada Program Studi Teknik Informatika, Fakultas Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Mercu Buana.

Jakarta, 13 Agustus 2022



(Sabar Rudiarto, S.Kom., M.Kom)

Penguji 3

UNIVERSITAS
MERCU BUANA

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN

NIM : 41518210036
Nama : Adji Pratomo
Judul Tugas Akhir : Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam
Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka.

Tugas Akhir ini telah diperiksa dan disidangkan sebagai salah satu persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana pada Program Studi Teknik Informatika, Fakultas Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Mercu Buana.

Jakarta, 13 Agustus 2022

Menyetujui,



(Rahmat Budiarto, Dr. Prof)
Dosen Pembimbing

Mengetahui,



(Wawan Gunawan, S.Kom, MT)
Koord. Tugas Akhir Teknik Informatika



(Ir. Emil R. Kaburuan, Ph.D., IPM.)
Ka. Prodi Teknik Informatika

KATA PENGANTAR

Puji syukur kita panjatkan kepada Allah SWT atas rahmat dan karunia-Nya sehingga Tugas Akhir yang berjudul “ Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka” dapat diselesaikan dalam jangka waktu yang sudah ditentukan. Laporan tugas akhir ini dibuat sebagai syarat untuk LULUS sebagai sarjana Ilmu Komputer dari Universitas Mercu Buana.

Penulis menyadari bahwa Laporan Tugas Akhir ini masih jauh dari kata sempurna dikarenakan keterbatasan kemampuan dan pengetahuan yang penulis dapatkan. Dalam menyelesaikan Laporan Tugas Akhir ini, penulis mendapat banyak bantuan, bimbingan, dan dukungan. Oleh karena itu, penulis mengucapkan terima kasih kepada:

1. Tuhan Yang Maha Kuasa, karena oleh berkat kasih dan rahmat karuniaNya penulis bisa ada sebagaimana penulis dapat menyelesaikan tugas akhir skripsi.
2. Keluarga saya, ayah saya Djumiran dan ibu saya Mariyam, serta adik saya Gandhi Tri Hartanto, yang tidak pernah lelah mendukung dan memberi semangat agar saya bisa menyelesaikan kuliah dengan baik serta tepat waktu, juga tak pernah luput mendoakan yang terbaik untuk proses meraih gelar sarjana.
3. Prof. Dr. Rahmat Budiarto selaku Dosen Pembimbing Tugas Akhir yang telah memberikan masukan saat bimbingan dan meluangkan sebagian besar waktunya untuk melakukan bimbingan dalam penyusunan tugas akhir ini hingga selesai.
4. Bapak Ir. Emil R. Kaburuan, Ph.D., IPM. selaku Kepala Program Studi Teknik Informatika Universitas Mercu Buana.
5. Bapak Adi Hartanto, ST., M.Kom. selaku Dosen Pembimbing Akademik saya selama berkuliah di Universitas Mercu Buana.
6. Kepada seluruh Bapak/ibu Dosen dan seluruh jajaran staf di Universitas Mercu Buana terutama di Fakultas Sains Komputer.

7. Kepada kawan seperjuangan yang sudah memberikan dukungan baik secara moril maupun materil.
8. Semua pihak dan personal yang tidak dapat disebutkan satu per satu yang terlibat dalam pembuatan Tugas Akhir ini sehingga dapat selesai dengan baik.

Akhir kata, penulis berharap segala kekurangan penulisan, eksperimen dan cara penjelasan dapat dimaafkan. Untuk itu, kritik dan saran pembaca sangat dihargai dan diharapkan dan semoga Tugas Akhir ini dapat memberikan manfaat bagi para pembaca.

Jakarta, 22 Juli 2022
Penulis



DAFTAR ISI

HALAMAN SAMPUL.....	i
HALAMAN JUDUL	i
LEMBAR PERNYATAAN ORISINALITAS	ii
SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI TUGAS AKHIR... iii	
SURAT PERNYATAAN LUARAN TUGAS AKHIR.....	iv
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN	v
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PENGUJI	vi
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN	ix
ABSTRAK	x
ABSTRACT	xi
KATA PENGANTAR.....	xii
DAFTAR ISI.....	xiv
DAFTAR GAMBAR.....	xvi
DAFTAR TABEL	xviii
NASKAH JURNAL	1
KERTAS KERJA.....	14
BAB 1. LITERATUR REVIEW	15
BAB 2. ANALISIS DAN PERANCANGAN.....	38
2.1. Analisis Permasalahan	38
2.2. Analisis Kebutuhan Sistem	39
2.2.1. Analisis Kebutuhan Fungsional	39
2.2.2. Analisis Kebutuhan Non Fungsional	40
2.3. Metode.....	40
2.3.1. Metode BiLSTM	41
2.3.2. Algoritma Optimasi Adam.....	41
2.3.3. Algoritma Optimasi RMSProp.....	41
2.3.4. Algoritma Optimasi SGD.....	42
2.3.5. <i>R2 Score</i>	42
2.3.6. <i>Mean Squared Error (MSE)</i>	42
2.3.7. <i>Fine-Tuning</i>	42
2.3.8. <i>Transfer Learning</i>	43
	xiv

2.4. Tahapan Implementasi Sistem Flowchart	43
BAB 3. SOURCE CODE	46
BAB 4. DATASET.....	49
4.1. Nikel.....	49
4.2. Timbal	50
4.3. Aluminium	51
4.4. Tembaga.....	52
BAB 5. TAHAPAN EKSPERIMEN.....	53
BAB 6. HASIL SEMUA EKSPERIMEN.....	56
6.1. BiLSTM Dengan ADAM.....	56
6.1.1. Model 1 Adam	56
6.1.2. Model 2 Adam	57
6.1.3. Model 3 Adam	58
6.1.4. Model 4 Adam	59
6.2. BiLSTM Dengan RMSProp.....	60
6.2.1. Model 1 RMSProp	60
6.2.2. Model 2 RMSProp	61
6.2.3. Model 3 RMSProp	62
6.2.4. Model 4 RMSProp	63
6.3. BiLSTM Dengan SGD	64
6.3.1. Model 1 SGD	64
6.3.2. Model 2 SGD	65
6.3.3. Model 3 SGD	66
6.3.4. Model 4 SGD	67
6.4. Perbandingan Performa Model	68
DAFTAR PUSTAKA.....	71
LAMPIRAN DOKUMEN HAKI.....	74
LAMPIRAN KORESPONDENSI.....	76

DAFTAR GAMBAR

Gambar 1 BiLSTM	41
Gambar 2 Flowchart Tahapan Implementasi Sistem	44
Gambar 3 Tabulasi Dataset Nikel	49
Gambar 4 Dataset Nikel	49
Gambar 5 Tabulasi Dataset Timbal.....	50
Gambar 6 Dataset Timbal	50
Gambar 7 Tabulasi Dataset Aluminium.....	51
Gambar 8 Dataset Aluminium	51
Gambar 9 Tabulasi Dataset Tembaga	52
Gambar 10 Dataset Tembaga.....	52
Gambar 11 Flowchart Tahapan Model Adam.....	55
Gambar 12 Loss Validation Model 1 Adam dengan Nikel.....	56
Gambar 13 Prediksi Model 1 Adam dengan Nikel 78 Periode.....	56
Gambar 14 Loss Validation Model 2 Adam dengan Timbal	57
Gambar 15 Prediksi Model 2 Adam dengan Timbal 78 Periode	57
Gambar 16 Loss Validation Model 3 Adam dengan Aluminium	58
Gambar 17 Prediksi Model 3 Adam dengan Aluminium 78 Periode	58
Gambar 18 Loss Validation Model 4 Adam dengan Tembaga.....	59
Gambar 19 Prediksi Model 4 Adam dengan Tembaga 97 Periode.....	59
Gambar 20 Loss Validation Model 1 RMSProp dengan Nikel	60
Gambar 21 Prediksi Model 1 RMSProp dengan Nikel 78 Periode.....	60
Gambar 22 Loss Validation Model 2 RMSProp dengan Timbal.....	61
Gambar 23 Prediksi Model 2 RMSProp dengan Timbal 78 Periode.....	61

Gambar 24 Loss Validation Model 3 RMSProp dengan Aluminum	62
Gambar 25 Prediksi Model 3 RMSProp dengan Aluminum 78 Periode	62
Gambar 26 Loss Validation Model 4 RMSProp dengan Tembaga.....	63
Gambar 27 Prediksi Model 4 RMSProp dengan Tembaga 97 Periode.....	63
Gambar 28 Loss Validation Model 1 SGD dengan Nikel.....	64
Gambar 29 Prediksi Model 1 SGD dengan Nikel 78 Periode.....	64
Gambar 30 Loss Validation Model 2 SGD dengan Timbal.....	65
Gambar 31 Prediksi Model 2 SGD dengan Timbal 78 Periode.....	65
Gambar 32 Loss Validation Model 3 SGD dengan Aluminum	66
Gambar 33 Prediksi Model 3 SGD dengan Aluminum 78 Periode	66
Gambar 34 Loss Validation Model 4 SGD dengan Tembaga.....	67
Gambar 35 Prediksi Model 4 SGD dengan Tembaga 97 Periode.....	67



DAFTAR TABEL

Tabel 1 Review Jurnal.....	15
Tabel 2 Analisis Kebutuhan Non Fungsional	40
Tabel 3 Source Code	46
Tabel 4 Performa Model 1 Adam.....	57
Tabel 5 Performa Model 2 Adam.....	57
Tabel 6 Performa Model 3 Adam.....	58
Tabel 7 Performa Model 4 Adam.....	59
Tabel 8 Performa Model 1 RMSProp	60
Tabel 9 Performa Model 2 RMSProp	61
Tabel 10 Performa Model 3 RMSProp	62
Tabel 11 Performa Model 4 RMSProp	63
Tabel 12 Performa Model 1 SGD	64
Tabel 13 Performa Model 2 SGD	65
Tabel 14 Performa Model 3 SGD	66
Tabel 15 Performa Model 4 SGD	67
Tabel 16 Performa Seluruh Model.....	68
Tabel 17 Performa 3 Model Terbaik.....	68

NASKAH JURNAL

Type: Article

Transfer Learning Implementation on BiLSTM with Optimizer for Predicting Non-Ferrous Metals Prices

Adji Pratomo¹, Muhammad O. Jatmika¹, Yaya S. Triana¹, Rahmat Budiarto^{1*}

¹Faculty of Computer Sciene, Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta, 11650, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author: Rahmat Budiarto. Email: rahmat.budiarto@mercubuana.ac.id

Received: 27 August 2022; Accepted: XX Month 2022

Abstract: Over the past few years, the implementation of renewable energy or go-green has intensified along with the rapid development of its technology and increasing uncertainty of natural conditions that cause the prices of non-ferrous metals such as copper, aluminum, nickel, etc. used as main components for developing renewable energy devices, e.g.: battery, experience instability price in the commodity futures market. Economic players who trade metals in the futures market certainly need to be careful and must evaluate the state of the world economy. With a Deep Learning approach, the problem of predicting those materials prices in the commodity futures market can be addressed. Deep learning has good performance in predicting variables with huge data. This study proposes a prediction engine as a combination of Bidirectional Long-Short Term Memory (BiLSTM), with three optimization algorithms, i.e.: Adam, Root Mean Squared Propagation (RMSProp), and Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD), and transfer learning to make model training better. Experiments on four historical data on nickel, lead, aluminum and copper prices in the commodity futures market are conducted. The selected features are: open price, close price and volume price. Twelve models will be created to find the model that best predicts the metal prices. From the obtained models, the top 3 models with the best performance were selected, they are: model 4 RMSProp with R2 value of 0,99029 and MSE 0,00076 as the first ranking, model 3 Adam with R2 value of 0,98877 and MSE 0,00074 as the second ranking, and model 4 Adam with value of R2 0,98522 and MSE 0,00115 as the third ranking.

Keywords: Metals commodity Price; Prediction; BiLSTM; Optimizer, Transfer Learning

1 Introduction

Over the past few years, the implementation of renewable energy or go-green has intensified along with the rapid development of its technology and increasing uncertainty of natural conditions that cause the prices of raw metal materials such as copper, aluminum, nickel, etc. used as main components for developing renewable energy devices, e.g.: battery, experience instability price in the commodity futures market. Economic players who trade metals in the futures market (as buyer or also as seller) certainly need to be careful and must evaluate the state of the world economy, thus, need sophisticated tool to observe the dynamics of the price's fluctuation as well as to predict the prices in the future to optimize the profit or to minimize the loss if any.

Time Series Forecasting/Prediction is one area in machine learning that focuses on time series attributes, more specifically, on sequential time series data analysis, then predicting the future outcomes based on previous available data. Prediction using deep learning approach such as BiLSTM on big data and relatively huge number of epochs definitely requires long processing time and consumes intensive computing resources during the model development stage, i.e.: for training as well as testing of the model, and when the dataset changes, the developed model needs to be retrained again since the model does not keep the interconnection weights or weight values in each neuron. Therefore, a prediction model that can be reused without losing its interconnection weights and need shorter training time is required.

To address the abovementioned issues, this study proposes a prediction engine as a combination of BiLSTM with three optimization algorithms, i.e.: Adam, RMSProp, and SGD, and transfer learning to make model training

better. Then experiments on four historical datasets, i.e.: nickel, lead, aluminum and copper prices datasets in the commodity futures market are carried out. The use of four different datasets makes the developed models more robust.

This study contributes towards the development of robust model to be used as accurate prediction engine that can deal with big data. In addition, the model assists the traders in the metals commodity futures market to minimize the business risk. A good prediction of metals business prospect, will attract more capital ventures to invest in the advancement of renewable energy/ go-green technology.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. Section 2 provides related works, followed by the proposed model discussion in Section 3. Section 4 presents experimental results and discussions, and lastly, Section 5 draws conclusions.

2 Related Works

Prediction in commodity trading is often used as a tool in planning, whether it is done by individuals or companies. One method that can be used for prediction is data mining [1]. Dedy and Cherid [2] define data mining as the process of extracting and processing data into very important and useful information that may not have been known before. With different conditions of data when prediction, there is no one method that can provide absolute prediction accuracy and perfect performance. The data mining approach every so often used to make predictions is Deep Learning [3], [4], [5]. One of the Deep Learning algorithms that are widely used in prediction is Neural Network [6], [7], [8]. In deep learning there are three types of neural networks that form the basis for most models, namely Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) [9], Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) [10], [11], [12], and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) [13], [14], [15], and can be used in time series forecasting. The neural network models and architectures that can be used for time series forecasting have different performances depending on how the model is built and what dataset is used for training the model.

Several related studies that have been carried out by previous researchers have tried to predict time series data using several methods from the knowledge branch of machine learning [16]. Khoshalan et al. [17] carried out research on copper price prediction using Gene Expression Programming (GEP), Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS), and ant colony optimization algorithm (ANFIS-ACO). The result is that the four methods have satisfactory performance in predicting copper prices. Afrianto et al. [18] conducted an experiment on stock price prediction with public sentiment factor using BiLSTM and produced the smallest MSE value of 0.094 and the smallest RMSE value of 0.306. Adhinata and Rakhmadani [19] conducted a study on forecasting the daily increase in COVID-19 cases with the LSTM model, the experimental results showed that the deep learning approach resulted in MSE values of 0.0308, RMSE 0.1758, and MAE 0.13. Ramadhan et al. [20] conducted an experiment on prediction sea level height using RNN and LSTM. The results for the 14-day prediction get a correlation coefficient R2 of 0.97 and an RMSE value of 0.036. Jaseena and Kovoor [21] used the EWT-BiLSTM and BiLSTM models for wind speed prediction which resulted in the EWT-BiLSTM model being superior to the BiLSTM model.

Koshiyama et al. [22] created an architecture called QuanNet that can study market trends and use it to learn market-specific trading strategies that excel using transfer learning methods in making specific strategies for predicting global market trends. Ye and Dai [23] proposed a transfer learning-based hybrid algorithm, namely Online Sequential Extreme Learning Machine with Kernels (OS-ELMK), and ensemble learning, (TrEnOS-ELMK), compared with many existing time series prediction methods, the newly proposed algorithm considers old data and can effectively utilize the latent knowledge implicit in the data for prediction.

3 The Proposed Model

This study combines BiLSTM with optimization algorithms, i.e.: Adam, RMSProp, stochastic gradient descent (SGD) optimizers. Fine-Tuning and Transfer Learning are used independently to improve training process. MSE and R2 score are considered as performance metrics. Fig. 1 illustrates the overall research workflow.




Figure 1: Overall research workflow

Data Collection: For the experiments purpose, publicly available data is taken from the id.investing.com portal. Each dataset consists of 508 rows and 6 columns. Observation period is from 1 October 2019 to 1 October 2021.

Preprocessing the Data: The data preprocessing stage is the stage where the data will go through several steps to prepare the data to be ready for use in the next stage, the stages are: Data Cleaning to clean data values such as filling in missing values; Data Reduction choosing the features to be used; Data Transformation such as making dataset X and Y where X has 2 features (Open & Volume prices) while Y has 1 feature (Close price); scaling the data values with a scale of 0 to 1; converting data values into *numpy* arrays; splitting the data for training and for testing data with 80%:20% ratio for Model 1, Model 2 and Model 3, while for Model 4, the data is split into 75%:25% ratio. The use of different data ratio is to verify whether transfer learning can produce good performance compared to fine tune approach even the training data portion is lesser.

Model Creation: At this stage the BiLSTM model that combined with the three optimizers will be created and will be used as a prediction model.

Creating weight storage models: The next stage is weight storage model that will be used to store the interconnection weights between neurons or nodes

Training and saving the models: At this stage, the model will be trained using the split dataset with the number of training adjusting the epoch. Then the model that has been trained and has interconnection weights is stored and will later be used again in prediction the next metal price.

Testing the models: Here, The models are validated using the split data and then the testing result is displayed graphically.

Prediction: Then the next stage is running experiments on predictions using the testing data.

Performance metrics calculation: Performance matrix will be measured to assess the performance of the prediction models.

Comparison: In the last stage, a comparison of the models' performance will be carried out to determine the best model.

3.1 BiLSTM

Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (BiLSTM) is the process of making each neural network has a sequence of information from both directions backwards (future to past) or forward (past to future). In Bidirectional, inputting flows from both directions makes BiLSTM different from ordinary LSTM and makes the BiLSTM model

able to perform better in utilizing the information. Fig. 2 shows how the BiLSTM works and Tab. 1 shows the structure model parameters and their values applied in the experiments.




Figure 2: Architecture of BiLSTM

Table 1: Model structure parameter

Parameter	Value
Model	Sequential ()
Input	2 nodes
1 st Bidirectional LSTM	64 nodes
2 nd Bidirectional LSTM	32 nodes
3 rd Bidirectional LSTM	16 nodes
Batchnormalization	
Dropout	0.2
Dense	1
Model.Compile	MSE, Optimizer

3.2 Optimizer

This study considers three optimizer algorithms as follows.

- *Adam Optimizer Algorithm* is an optimization algorithm that can be used instead of the classical stochastic gradient descent procedure to iteratively update the weights based on the training data.
- *RMSprop Optimizer Algorithm* is similar to the stochastic gradient descent algorithm with momentum. RMSprop optimization limits oscillations in the vertical direction. This can increase the learning speed and the algorithm can take larger steps in the horizontal direction that converges more quickly.
- *SGD Optimizer Algorithm* is an optimization algorithm that is often used in machine learning applications to find the most suitable model parameters between the predicted output and the actual output.

Fig. 3 illustrates the four models creation with Adam optimizer experiments stages. Models creation using other two optimizers is conducted similarly. As we can see in Fig. 3, Model 1 is developed from the scratch. Model 2 is created from the obtained Model 1 through fine-tune approach. Next step is the creation of Model 3, also using fine tune approach. It means that each layer is trained, using its corresponding dataset. Lastly, Model 4 is created through transfer learning approach. This strategy is taken after observing the dynamic and fluctuation of the metals prices data from time to time.




Figure 3: Models creation with Adam optimizer experiments stages

3.3 Fine Tuning

Fine-tuning is a way of implementing or utilizing transfer learning to make better adjustments to improve the performance and accuracy of the previously trained network [24]. Specifically, fine-tuning is the process of taking a trained model for one given task and then tuning or modifying the model to make it perform a second similar task.

3.4 Transfer Learning

Transfer learning is the enhancement of learning in a new task through the transfer of knowledge from related tasks that have been learned. Transfer learning is concerned with issues such as multi-tasking learning and concept shifting and is not exclusively a field of study for deep learning [25]. Transfer learning aims to improve target training performance in the target domain by transferring knowledge contained in different sources but related domains. However, transfer learning is popular in deep learning, given the enormous resources required to train deep learning models or the large and challenging datasets on which deep learning models are trained. Two common approaches are as follows:

1. Developed Model Approach: (a) Select Source Task → (b) Develop Source Model → (c) Reuse Model → (d) Tune Model.
2. Pre-trained Model Approach: (a) Select Source Model → (b) Reuse Model → (c) Tune Model

3.5 Performance Metrics

Two metrics are selected to measure the performance of the proposed models, i.e.: MSE and R2 Score. Mean Squared Error (MSE) or Mean Squared Deviation (MSD) is used to measure the difference in the mean squared between the estimated value and the actual value [26]. R2 Score is a statistical measure in a regression model that determines the proportion of variance in the dependent variable that can be explained by the independent variable. In other words, R2 or r-squared indicates how well the data fit the regression model [27].

3.6 Dataset

Four datasets on Nickel, Lead, Aluminum, and Copper are taken from the id.investing.com portal. Each dataset consists of 508 rows and 6 columns. Fig. 4 shows the four datasets.

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	date	close	open	high	low	volume
2	2019-10-1	17.220	17.250	17.325	17.195	239750
3	2019-10-2	17.485	17.525	17.505	17.485	187440
4	2019-10-3	17.625	17.630	17.630	17.610	117020
507	2021-9-29	18.342	18.600	18.555	18.555	21270
508	2021-9-30	17.936	18.170	18.100	18.100	19540
509	2021-10-1	17.971	18.100	18.080	18.100	11970

Nickel (Model 1)

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	date	close	open	high	low	volume
2	2019-10-1	2.096	2.130	2.122	2.096	98220
3	2019-10-2	2.100	2.095	2.110	2.090	66660
4	2019-10-3	2.129	2.108	2.130	2.106	46370
507	2021-9-29	2.140	2.158	2.160	2.158	7540
508	2021-9-30	2.093	2.087	2.087	2.078	16970
509	2021-10-1	2.141	2.127	2.128	2.126	9940

Lead (Model 2)

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	date	close	open	high	low	volume
2	2019-10-1	5.686	5.640	5.686	5.601	257720
3	2019-10-2	5.678	5.662	5.702	5.662	202320
4	2019-10-3	5.662	5.657	5.665	5.655	191830
507	2021-9-29	9.155	9.220	9.215	9.215	29880
508	2021-9-30	8.937	9.030	9.025	9.021	38370
509	2021-10-1	9.128	9.100	9.099	9.100	33670

Aluminum (Model 3)

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	date	close	open	high	low	volume
2	2019-10-1	1.740	1.719	1.740	1.719	473950
3	2019-10-2	1.705	1.723	1.722	1.706	484720
4	2019-10-3	1.718	1.712	1.718	1.710	329770
507	2021-9-29	2.912	2.937	2.935	2.930	36670
508	2021-9-30	2.859	2.870	2.866	2.867	60390
509	2021-10-1	2.857	2.881	2.880	2.881	38560

Copper (Model 4)

Figure 4: Illustration of Datasets for the experiments

Four models are created, one model for each dataset, i.e.: Model 1 for Nickel price dataset, Model 2 for Lead price dataset, Model 3 for Aluminum price dataset, and Model 4 for Copper price dataset. This sequence is based on the trend of each dataset. In Nickel and Lead price datasets, the price data movement is still fairly stable, however, for Aluminum and Copper the prices fluctuation is sharp enough as shown in Fig. 5. Then each model is combined with three different optimizers, thus 12 models will be created.




Figure 5. Trends of price movement of the four metals

4 Experimental Results and Discussion

For the implementation purpose, this study uses Intel Core i7-8750H CPU @ 2.20GHz (12 CPUs), RAM 8 GB, running Windows 10 and Python version 3.7.13 programming language, with Google Collaboratory supporting apps and libraries, including: Sys, Pandas, Matplotlib, Seaborn, Tabulate, MinMaxScaler, train_test_split, mean_squared_error, r2_score. Whereas for model creation, the following libraries are utilized: Sequential, LSTM, Dense, Dropout, Bidirectional, Batchnormalization, Input, ModelCheckpoint, CSVLogger, and Load_model.

4.1 BiLSTM + Adam Optimizer

Four models for this arrangement are: Model 1 Adam, Model 2 Adam, Model 3 Adam, and Model 4 Adam. Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 show the loss and prediction of the models, respectively. It can be seen in Fig. 6 that loss of all models are convergent during the testing. Model 3 Adam provides the best result.




Figure 6: Loss values of BiLSTM+Adam optimizer




Figure 7: Accuracy of BiLSTM+Adam optimizer

4.2 BiLSTM + RMSProp Optimizer

Four models for this arrangement are: Model 1 RMSProp, Model 2 RMSProp, Model 3 RMSProp, and Model 4 RMSProp. Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 show the loss and prediction of the models, respectively. Fig. 8 shows the convergence of the four models. Model 2 RMSProp fluctuates a lot compared to the other three models. Model 4 RMSProp achieves the best performance.




Figure 8: Loss values of BiLSTM+RMSProp optimizer




Figure 9: Accuracy of BiLSTM+RMSProp optimizer

4.3 BiLSTM + SGD Optimizer

Four models for this arrangement are: Model 1 SGD, Model 2 SGD, Model 3 SGD, and Model 4 SGD. Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show the loss and prediction of the models, respectively. Fig. 10 shows the convergence of the four models. Model 2 SGD is similar to Model 2 RMSProp fluctuates a lot compared to the other three models. Model 3 RMSProp achieves the best performance.

Tab. 2 summarizes overall models' performance. It can be seen, that in general, the combination of BiLSTM with the three optimizers improves the prediction performance in terms of R2, MSE. Implementation on other combinations only provides better number of epoch to achieve convergence, and shorter processing time.




Figure 10: Loss values of BiLSTM+SGD optimizer




Figure 11: Accuracy of BiLSTM+SGD optimizer

Table 2: Overall Models' performance

Model	R2 Score	MSE	Epoch#	Time (Sec)
Model 1 Adam	0.96234	0.00236	200	619
Model 2 Adam	0.96823	0.00184	200	411
Model 3 Adam	0.98877	0.00074	200	412
Model 4 Adam	0.98522	0.00115	100	211
Model 1 RMSProp	0.96823	0.00184	200	411
Model 2 RMSProp	0.96823	0.00184	200	411
Model 3 RMSProp	0.97488	0.00166	200	412
Model 4 RMSProp	0.99029	0.00076	100	213
Model 1 SGD	0.93801	0.00388	200	613
Model 2 SGD	0.94805	0.00301	200	396
Model 3 SGD	0.98234	0.00117	200	413
Model 4 SGD	0.97771	0.00174	100	213

4.3 Discussion and Comparison

As shown in Tab. 2, all models are able to predict the four metal's price very well, particularly Model 3 and Model 4 as they are created in sequence number 3 and number 4. From observation of Model 4's performances, it can be seen that Model 4 RMSProp (model using Copper dataset combined with RMSProp optimizer) achieves the best performance among other 11 models. On the other hand, for other combinations, Model 3s (Model 3 Adam and Model 3 SGD) perform better compared to their Model 4s. Nevertheless, Model 3s and Model 4s need less processing time compared to Model 1s and Model 2s. As discussed in Section 3.2 on model creation, neuron weights of Hidden layer of Model 1 resulted from its training stage is used as basis for Model 2 creation using fine tune approach. This way makes neurons at Hidden Layer of Model 2 has their initial weights, then when it is trained again using data for Model 2 will produce better performance (in terms of R2 Score, MSE and processing time) compared to Model 1. Same procedure happens to Model 3 creation. Whilst, Model 4 is purely created using transfer learning approach, without retraining but directly uses the neurons' weights from Model 3.

Tab. 3 shows the top three models resulted from the experiments. We observe that the best performance for BiLSTM+ Adam models and BiLSTM+SGD models achieved by Model 3 Adam and Model 3 SGD.

Table 3:

No	Model	R2 Score	MSE
1	Model 4 RMSProp	0.990287	0.000756
2	Model 3 Adam	0.988771	0.000741
3	Model 4 Adam	0.985215	0.001151

Unfortunately, not so many works on metal prices prediction in commodity futures market are available in the literatures. Nevertheless, Tab. 4 shows performance comparison with some existing models.

Table 4:

Commodity	Method	Ref.#	R2 Score	MSE
Nickel	BiLSTM+RMSProp		0.96823	0.00184
	PSO+LSTM21	[28]	N/A	0.03
Lead	BiLSTM+RMSProp		0.96823	0.00184
	ARIMA	[29]	0.9703	N/A
Aluminum	BiLSTM+Adam		0.988771	0.000741
	XGBosst	[30]	N/A	64.62
Copper	BiLSTM+RMSProp		0.990287	0.000756
	ANN	[17]	0.981000	N/A

5 Conclusion

This study has proposed a prediction engine as a combination of BiLSTM with three optimization algorithms, i.e.: Adam, RMSProp, and SGD, and transfer learning to make model training better. Through a series of experiments, this study has shown that the implementation of transfer learning approach on the combination of BiLSTM and RMSProp optimizer improves all aspects of the prediction performance, i.e.: R2, MSE, number of epoch as well as processing time. Implementation on other combinations only provides better number of epoch to achieve convergence, and shorter processing time. The best performance with 0.990287 of R2 Score and MSE value of 0.000756 was achieved for model that is created using Copper dataset and implementation of transfer learning. The transfer learning approach reduces the prediction processing time and at the same time still provides excellent prediction results while the number of data training and testing is less. The proposed model is expected will assist metals trading players to secure their business, which in turn will support the advancement of renewable energy technology.

Combinations of other prediction engines with several optimizer algorithms are considered as future study. Besides, as this study does not perform model validation, thus, validation of the model is considered also as one of future work.

Funding Statement: Authors thank to Research & Technology Directorate, Universitas Mercu Buana for partially support on this research, under Grant no: 02-5/1337/B-SPK/V/2022.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

References

- [1] G. Schuh, G. Reinhart, J. Prote, F. Sauermaun, J. Horsthofer *et al.*, “Data mining definitions and applications for the management of production complexity,” *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 81, pp. 874–879, 2019.
- [2] D. Dedy, “Data mining data processing prospective of Indonesian migrant workers (PMI) with application of K-Means clustering and K-nearest neighbor (KNN) classification: case study of PT. SAM.” B.Sc Thesis, Mercu Buana University, Indonesia, 2020.
- [3] R. Law, G. Li, D. K. C. Fong, and X. Han, “Tourism demand forecasting: A deep learning approach,” *Annals of Tourism Research*, vol. 75, pp. 410–423, Mar. 2019.
- [4] J. M. Stokes, K. Yang, K. Swanson, W. Jin, A. Cubillos-Ruiz *et al.*, “A Deep Learning Approach to Antibiotic Discovery,” *Cell*, vol. 180, no. 4, pp. 688-702.e13, 2020.
- [5] C. Xu, J. Ji, and P. Liu, “The station-free sharing bike demand forecasting with a deep learning approach and large-scale datasets,” *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, vol. 95, pp. 47–60, 2018.
- [6] O. I. Abiodun, A. Jantan, A. E. Omolara, K. V. Dada, N. A. E. Mohamed, *et al.*, “State-of-the-art in artificial neural network applications: A survey,” *Heliyon*, vol. 4, no. 11, p. e00938, 2018.
- [7] D. Rosadi, D. Arisanty, and D. Agustina, “Prediction of forest fire using neural networks with backpropagation learning and extreme learning machine approach using meteorological and weather index variables,” *Media Statistika*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 118–124, 2022.
- [8] I. T. Rahayu, N. Nurhasanah, and R. Adriat, “Prediction of dengue hemorrhagic fever cases based on weather parameters using back propagation neural networks (case study in Pontianak city),” *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 114–121, 2019.
- [9] P. Jiang, Z. Liu, X. Niu, and L. Zhang, “A combined forecasting system based on statistical method, artificial neural networks, and deep learning methods for short-term wind speed forecasting,” *Energy*, vol. 217, p. 119361, Feb. 2021.
- [10] I. Kurniawan, L. S. Silaban, and D. Munandar, “Implementation of convolutional neural network and multilayer perceptron in predicting air temperature in Padang,” *RESTI*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 2–7, 2020.
- [11] R. F. Rahmat, Dennis, O. S. Sitompul, S. Purnamawati, and R. Budiarto, “Advertisement billboard detection and geotagging system with inductive transfer learning in deep convolutional neural network,” *Telkomnika*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 2659–2666, 2019.
- [12] F. Makinoshima, Y. Oishi, T. Yamazaki, T. Furumura, and F. Imamura, “Early forecasting of tsunami inundation from tsunami and geodetic observation data with convolutional neural networks,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2021.
- [13] M. A. Faishol, E. Endroyono, and A. N. Irfansyah, “Predict urban air pollution in surabaya using recurrent neural network – long short term memory,” *JUTI*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 102, 2020.
- [14] F. Granata and F. Di Nunno, “Forecasting evapotranspiration in different climates using ensembles of recurrent neural networks,” *Agriculture and Water Management*, vol. 255, p. 107040, 2021.
- [15] K. E. ArunKumar, D. V. Kalaga, C. M. S. Kumar, M. Kawaji, and T. M. Brenza, “Forecasting of COVID-19 using deep layer Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) with Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) cells,” *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 146, p. 110861, 2021.
- [16] T. Ahmad and H. Chen, “A review on machine learning forecasting growth trends and their real-time applications in different energy systems,” *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 54, p. 102010, 2020.
- [17] H. A. Khoshalan, J. Shakeri, I. Najmoddini, and M. Asadzadeh, “Forecasting copper price by application of robust artificial intelligence techniques,” *Resources Policy*, vol. 73, no. June, p. 102239, 2021.
- [18] N. Afrianto, D. H. Fudholi, and S. Rani, “Stock price prediction using BiLSTM with public sentiment factor,” *RESTI*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 41–46, 2022, doi: 10.29207/resti.v6i1.3676.
- [19] F. D. Adhinata and D. P. Rakhmadani, “Prediction of Covid-19 Daily Case in Indonesia Using Long Short Term Memory Method,” *Teknika*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 62–67, 2021.
- [20] A. W. Ramadhan, D. Adytia, D. Saepudin, S. Husrin, and A. Adiwijaya, “Forecasting of sea level time series using RNN and LSTM case study in Sunda strait,” *Lontar Komputer*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 130, 2021.
- [21] K. U. Jaseena and B. C. Kovoor, “Decomposition-based hybrid wind speed forecasting model using deep bidirectional LSTM networks,” *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 234, p. 113944, 2021.
- [22] A. Koshiyama, S. Flennerhag, S. B. Blumberg, N. Firoozye, and P. Treleaven, “QuantNet: transferring learning across systematic trading strategies,” 2020, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.03445>.
- [23] R. Ye and Q. Dai, “A novel transfer learning framework for time series forecasting,” *Knowledge-Based System*, vol. 156, no. May, pp. 74–99, 2018.
- [24] A. Mustafid, M. M. Pamuji, and S. Helmiyah, “A comparative study of transfer learning and fine-tuning method on deep learning models for wayang dataset classification,” *IJID*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 100–110, 2020.
- [25] Y. Gao, Y. Ruan, C. Fang, and S. Yin, “Deep learning and transfer learning models of energy consumption forecasting for a building with poor information data,” *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 223, p. 110156, 2020.
- [26] M. S. Acharya, A. Armaan, and A. S. Antony, “A comparison of regression models for prediction of graduate admissions,” in *Proc. ICCIDS 2019*, Chennai, India pp. 1–5, 2019.
- [27] J. Kumar, R. Goomer, and A. K. Singh, “Long short term memory recurrent neural network (LSTM-RNN) based workload forecasting model for cloud datacenters,” *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 125, pp. 676–682, 2018.

- [28] B. Shao, M. Li, Y. Zhao, G. Bian, "Nickel Price Forecast Based on the LSTM Neural Network Optimized by the Improved PSO Algorithm," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2019, Article ID 1934796, 15 pages, 2019.
- [29] L. L. Lu, X. Ma, Y. X. Wang, and G. B. Yu. "Lead price forecasting based on ARIMA model,," *Advanced Materials Research*, vol. 488-489, pp. 1582-1586, 2012.
- [30] S. J. Mysen and E. M. Thornton, "Forecasting the price of aluminum using machine learning empirical comparison of machine learning and statistical methods," Master thesis, Norwegian School of Economics, Norwegian, 2021.





KERTAS KERJA

Ringkasan

Pada bagian Literature Review ini ditampilkan hasil review terhadap beberapa literatur atau jurnal ilmiah yang terkait dengan penelitian ini yaitu Penerapan Transfer Learning dan BiLSTM dalam Memprediksi Harga Logam di Pasar Komoditas Berjangka. Literature Review ini terdiri dari 6 Artikel jurnal umum Nasional dan 24 jurnal Internasional.

Analisis dan Perancangan ditampilkan analisis permasalahan yaitu latar belakang penelitian, rumusan masalah, batasan masalah, tujuan, manfaat penelitian, dan spesifikasi serta diperlukannya sebuah model *deep learning* yang mampu untuk memprediksi harga logam di pasar komoditas berjangka dengan performa yang baik.

Source Code berisi kumpulan kode-kode pemrograman Python yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini. Source Code ini dijadikan dalam satu folder Bernama lampiran Source Code.

Dataset yang digunakan pada penelitian ini diperoleh dari website id.investing.com dengan jumlah data per jenis logam adalah 3048 (508 baris dan 6 kolom), data logam yang digunakan adalah Nikel, Timbal, Aluminium, dan Tembaga.

Tahapan Eksperimen merupakan penjelasan tahapan dari eksperimen yang telah dilakukan penulis.

Hasil Eksperimen merupakan isi semua eksperimen peramalan menggunakan model BiLSTM dengan algoritma optimasi dan pendekatan *Transfer Learning*.