



ANALISIS ATURAN SNORT UNTUK MENCEGAH SERANGAN SYNFLOOD PADA KEAMANAN JARINGAN

TUGAS AKHIR

Karmadenur 41515110041

PROGRAM STUDI TEKNIK INFORMATIKA FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER UNIVERSITAS MERCU BUANA JAKARTA 2019

http://digilib.mercubuana.ac.id/



ANALISIS ATURAN SNORT UNTUK MENCEGAH SERANGAN SYNFLOOD PADA KEAMANAN JARINGAN

Tugas Akhir

Diajukan Untuk Melengkapi Salah Satu Syarat Memperoleh Gelar Sarjana Komputer

> Oleh: Karmadenur 41515110041

PROGRAM STUDI TEKNIK INFORMATIKA FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER UNIVERSITAS MERCU BUANA JAKARTA 2019

LEMBAR PERNYATAAN ORISINALITAS

Yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini: NIM : 41515110041 Nama : Karmadenur Judul Tugas Akhir : Analisis Aturan Snort untuk Mencegah Serangan Synflood pada Keamanan Jaringan

Menyatakan bahwa Laporan Tugas Akhir saya adalah hasil karya sendiri dan bukan plagiat. Apabila ternyata ditemukan didalam laporan Tugas Akhir saya terdapat unsur plagiat, maka saya siap untuk mendapatkan sanksi akademik yang terkait dengan hal tersebut.

Jakarta, 25 Juli 2019

06361 Karmadenur

UNIVERSITAS MERCU BUANA

ii

SURAT PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI TUGAS AKHIR

Sebagai mahasiswa Universitas Mercu Buana, saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini : Nama Mahasiswa : Karmadenur

: 41515110041

NIM Judul Tugas Akhir

: Analisis Aturan Snort untuk Mencegah Serangan Synflood pada Keamanan Jaringan

Dengan ini memberikan izin dan menyetujui untuk memberikan kepada Universitas Mercu Buana **Hak Bebas Royalti Noneksklusif** (*None-exclusive Royalty Free Right*) atas karya ilmiah saya yang berjudul diatas beserta perangkat yang ada (jika diperlukan).

Dengan Hak Bebas Royalti/Noneksklusif ini Universitas Mercu Buana berhak menyimpan, mengalihmedia/formatkan, mengelola dalam bentuk pangkalan data (*database*), merawat dan mempublikasikan tugas akhir saya.

Selain itu, demi pengembangan ilmu pengetahuan di lingkungan Universitas Mercu Buana, saya memberikan izin kepada Peneliti di Lab Riset Fakultas Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Mercu Buana untuk menggunakan dan mengembangkan hasil riset yang ada dalam tugas akhir untuk kepentingan riset dan publikasi selama tetap mencantumkan nama saya sebagai penulis/pencipta dan sebagai pemilik Hak Cipta.

Demikian pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sebenarnya.

Jakarta, 25 Juli 2019

UNI Karmadenur MERCU BUAI

iii

55806356

SURAT PERNYATAAN LUARAN TUGAS AKHIR

Sebagai mahasiswa Universitas Mercu Buana, saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini : Nama Mahasiswa : Karmadenur

inasiswa	Karmadenur

: 41515110041 Judul Tugas Akhir : Analisis Aturan Snort untuk Mencegah Serangan

NIM

Synflood pada Keamanan Jaringan

No	Luaran		Jenis		Statu	s
	a construction and a second	Jurnal Nasion	al Tidak Terakreditasi	1	Distato	
Publikasi Ilmiah	Jurnal Nasion	al Terakreditasi		Diajukan		
	Fuolikasi fililiali	Jurnal Interna	tional Tidak Bereputasi		Diterima	
1		Jurnal Interna	tional Bereputasi	V	Diterima	
	Disubmit/dipublikasikan di :	Nama Jurnal	: International Journal of Applications (IJCA)	Compu	uter	
	di :	ISSN	: 0975 - 8887			
		Literatur Revi	iew			[V
	Kertas Kerja,	Hasil analisa	& perancangan aplikasi			[V
	Merupakan material	Source code				1
2	haasil penelitian sebagai kelengkapan Artikel	Data set				L F
	Jurnal. Terdiri dari	Tahapan eksp	erimen	-		N
	(minimal 4)			N		
						1.
		HKI			Diajukan	1
		Paten			Tercatat	
3	HAKI Disubmit / Terdaftar	No & Tanggal Permohonan No &	¥			
	II NI IV	Tanggal Pencatatan	I T A O			
	Demikian pernyataan in	i saya buat deng		A Participation	Juli 2019 TERAI MPEL FIGRAFF85530 PROUMWAH FINADEU	6351

Menyatakan bahwa Luaran Tugas Akhir saya adalah sebagai berikut :

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PENGUJI

NIM	1	41515110041
Nama	:	Karmadenur
Judul Tugas Akhir	3	Analisis Aturan Snort untuk Mencegah Serangan Synflood pada Keamanan Jaringan

Tugas Akhir ini telah diperiksa dan disidangkan sebagai salah satu persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana pada Program Studi Teknik Informatika, Fakultas Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Mercu Buana.

> (Dr. Harwikarya., MT) Ketua Penguji

Jakarta, 25 Juli 2019

(Harni Kusniyati, M.Kom) Anggota Penguji 1

(Herry Derajad Wijaya, S.Kom., MM) Anggota Penguji 2

MERCU BUANA

V

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN

NIM	1	41515110041
Nama	:	Karmadenur
Judul Tugas Akhir	:	Analisis Aturan Snort untuk Mencegah Serangan Synflood pada Keamanan Jaringan

Fakultas Ilmu Komputer, Universitas Mercu Buana.

Tugas Akhir ini telah diperiksa dan disidangkan sebagai salah satu persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana pada Program Studi Teknik Informatika,

Jakarta, 25 Juli 2019

Menyetujui,

(Raka Yusuf, ST., MTI) Dosen Pembimbing

Mengetahui,

(Desi Ramayanti, S.Kom, MT) Ka. Prodi Teknik Informatika

MERCU BUANA

(Diky Firdaus, S.Kom, MM)

Koord. Tugas Akhir Teknik Informatika

vi

vi

ABSTRAK

Nama	:	Karmadenur
NIM	:	41515110041
Pembimbing TA	:	Raka Yusuf ST, MTI
Judul	:	Analisis Aturan Snort untuk Mencegah Serangan
		Synflood pada Keamanan Jaringan

Aturan Snort merupakan bentuk database yang isinya berupa pola serangan diterapkan pada sebuah server Snort untuk menyaring terjadinya jenis-jenis serangan, sehingga jenis serangan yang terdetaksi akan bisa diisolir, database aturan Snort harus selalu diperbarui agar apabila ada jenis pola-pola serangan yang baru bisa diketemukan oleh aturan Snort. Analisis ini akan memberikan masukan untuk memperbarui secara teratur sehingga apabila ada serangan jenis baru akan terdeteksi dan bisa diketahui. Aturan Snort untuk mencegah serangan SYN Flood, jenis penolakan layanan yang telah dirumuskan dengan mengunakan celah ketika terhubung ke TCP/IP dilakukan. Dalam analisis keamanan jaringan sangat penting untuk dibuat rumusan dan pola serangan yang biasanya menyerang pada jaringan, sehingga bisa diatasi dengan aturan Snort. Mempertahankan jaringan tetap aman dari gangguan dapat diatasi dengan aturan Snort. Analisis aturan Snort ini untuk mencegah serangan SYN Flood pada keamanan jaringan serta memudahkan administrator dalam melaporkan jenis serangan yang masuk ke aturan Snort, dan memudahkan menentukan kebijakan-kebijakan memperbaiki dengan berdasarkan log yang ada didalam aturan Snort.

MERCU BUANA

Kata kunci:

Aturan Snort, SYN Flood attack, Aturan Option, DDoS

ABSTRACT

Name	:	Karmadenur
Student Number	:	41515110041
Counsellor	:	Raka Yusuf ST, MTI
Title	:	Analysis of Snort Rules to Prevent Synflood Attacks
		on Network Security

Snort rules are a form of the database whose attack pattern is applied to a Snort server to filter out the types of attacks, so that the type of attack detected can be isolated, the Snort rule database must be updated so that if there are new types of attack patterns it can be found by Snort rules. This analysis will provide input to update regularly so that if a new type of attack is detected and can be detected. Snort rules to prevent SYN Flood attacks, the type of denial of service that has been formulated using loopholes when connecting to TCP / IP is done. In network security analysis it is very important to formulate an attack pattern that will attack the network so that it can be overcome by Snort rules. Maintaining a secure network from interference can be overcome by Snort rules. Analysis of Snort's rules is to prevent SYN Flood attacks on network security and makes it easier for administrators to report the types of attacks that enter Snort rules and make it easier to make policies improve based on the logs in Snort rules.

Key words: Snort Rules, SYN Flood attack, Option Rules, DDoS

MERCU BUANA

KATA PENGANTAR

Puji syukur kita panjatkan kehadirat Allah SWT yang telah melimpahkan rahmat serta hidayah-Nya sehingga penulis bisa menyelesaikan laporan tugas akhir ini. Penulis menyadari bahwa tanpa bantuan dan bimbingan pihak-pihak terkait Tugas Akhir ini tidak bisa selesai tepat waktu, terutama kepada Bapak Raka Yusuf, ST, MTI, yang telah membimbing penulis selama penyusunan Tugas Akhir. Oleh karena itu, penulis juga mengucapkan terima kasih kepada:

- Orang tua dan keluarga yang selalu memberikan dukungan dan doa sehingga penulis dapat menyelesaikan penulisan jurnal dan tugas akhir beserta laporannya dengan lancar dan tepat waktu.
- Ibu Dr. Eliyani selaku dosen pembimbing akademik yang telah membimbing saya sejak awal semester yang selalu memberi dukungan dan motivasi agar lulus tepat waktu.
- 3. Ibu Desi Ramayanti, S.Kom., MT, Ka. Prodi Teknik Informatika, yang selalu memberikan saran dan bimbingan kepada penulis.
- Bapak Raka Yusuf, ST., MTI selaku dosen pembimbing tugas akhir yang telah meluangkan waktu dan pikirannya untuk membimbing penulis sehingga dapat menyelesaikan tugas akhir ini dengan tepat waktu.
- Bapak/Ibu dosen Fakultas Ilmu Komputer Unversitas Mercu Buana yang telah memberikan ilmu dan membimbing.
- Semua teman-teman yang telah memberikan semangat dan dukungan kepada penulis dalam menyusun laporan tugas akhir ini.

Akhir kata, penulis berharap tugas akhir ini dapat berguna bagi orang lain.

UNIVERSITA SJakarta, 25 Juli 2019 Penulis MERCUBUANA Karmadenur

ix



NASKAH JURNAL

Analysis of Snort Rules to Prevent Synflood Attacks on Network Security

Karmadenur

Technical Information Universitas Mercu Buana Jalan Meruya Selatan No. 1, Kembangan, Kebon Jeruk Jakarta, Indonesia

41515110041@student@mercubana.ac.id

Raka Yusuf

Universitas Mercu Buana Jalan Meruya Selatan No. 1, Kembangan, Kebon Jeruk Jakarta, Indonesia

raka@mercubuana.ac.id

UNIVERSITAS MERCU BUANA

ABSTRACT

Snort rules are a form of the database whose attack pattern is applied to a Snort server to filter out the types of attacks, so that the type of attack detected can be isolated, the Snort rule database must be updated so that if there are new types of attack patterns it can be found by Snort rules. This analysis will provide input to update regularly so that if a new type of attack is detected and can be detected. Snort rules to prevent SYN Flood attacks, the type of denial of service that has been formulated using loopholes when connecting to TCP / IP is done. In network security analysis it is very important to formulate an attack pattern that will attack the network so that it can be overcome by Snort rules. Maintaining a secure network from interference can be overcome by Snort rules. Analysis of Snort's rules is to prevent SYN Flood attacks on network security and makes it easier for administrators to report the types of attacks that enter Snort rules and make it easier to make policies improve based on the logs in Snort rules.

General Terms

Snort Rules to Prevent Synflood Attacks

Keywords

Snort Rules, SYN Flood attack, Option Rules, DDoS

INTRODUCTION

Network security is an important aspect that must be considered to maintain system stability and smoothness. Snort is a security application tool that serves to detect network intrusions including infiltration, attack, and various forms of threats that are used to simplify analyzing incoming data traffic to minimize the presence of packages that are awkward and unwanted, which can result in the network not functioning normally (hangs) so that data traffic on the network is interrupted. The snort that has been running in command line mode works well to perform its functions and rules.

Some attacks on servers often occur which sometimes can not be separated from reality even though not all goals are carried out based solely on politics or business. For this reason network security requires Snort rules, which are databases that contain signature attack patterns. Snort rules must always be updated regularly so that after a new attack technique appears the attack can be detected, as well as preventing SYN Flood attacks. Also, the types of attacks that are currently developing are like DoS attacks which are currently developing into Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS). Some types of attacks that are currently carried out by attackers with various types of attacks usually attack occur very much distributed so that when the attack occurs, it can immobilize the target very quickly. Making Snort rules can prevent flood SYN attacks such as a type of DoS attack, where Traffic Flooding attacks will make full network traffic come quickly so that official users don't make it into the network system. Analysis of Snort rules to prevent SYN Flood attacks on network security adds updates that then provide Snort rules so that it can make it easier for administrators to see reports of the types of attacks that enter Snort rules.

RELATED RESEARCH

In the study discussed the rules of Snort to prevent Syn Flood attack attacks, there are several main things to detect and prevent an attack on network interference. In developing network security it is very important to produce and counteract the types of attacks that will cause the system to die, to overcome this can be overcome with the latest rules. Anomaly detection cannot be considered a perfect solution for new threats. Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) Syn Flood attacks carry out a type of attack that is continuous, to maintain competent and efficient types of attacks to reduce Syn Flood attacks Snort rules are needed [1][2]. Syn flood attacks network security that happens a lot. So to prove the proposed security efficiency so that it can be integrated into Snort's rules by using Code Refactoring, which is an external behavior that deliberately reflects the code without changing the behavior from the outside to make a strong intrusion detection machine [3].

Signature-based intrusion detection system using machine learning algorithms. Another problem that has the potential to generate additional insight is the analysis and rules. This algorithm was developed to extract protocol information from Snort rules and determine distribution in the ruleset [4].

Whereas in the explanation the rules are made to filter the packages sent by network users. In the same pattern the Snort system can be automated or with initial rules, to integrate honeypot and IDS can activate Snort rules by the server, rules that have been automatically created will filter packets sent by the network [5] [6].

Method or analysis in extracted features using the backpropagation algorithm. On the other hand, the intrusion has been classified as a Denial of Service (DoS) category and an attack probe that is warned in storage through log data. Using the Snort backpropagation algorithm application, the detection of unknown attacks is only one way the result is reduced attack detection [7] [8].


Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) combines mitigation schemes in attacks currently with new models to IT devices, most of which are used to produce DDoS attacks, namely collaboration that distributes using Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attack mitigation schemes [1] [5]. Testing rules to be able to reveal various benefits of information regarding the type of network traffic that is considered dangerous. The first phase of the algorithm was developed to extract protocol information from Snort rules and to determine the distribution in the set of rules that are more detailed and updated. Snort is the most popular software used for network detection, Snort Flexibility is easily configured in detecting intrusion [9] [10].

The method proposes how to calculate the network topology between Snort rules based on set theory [11] [4]. Lately, the paradigm has gotten much attention for implementing a data center network that provides efficient solutions for security [8] [12]. The development of this very high network system, the huge amount of data traffic needs to be analyzed by fiber processed using high-speed infrastructure. To advance the performance of network intrusion detection systems and reduce the time of network traffic processing, intrusion detection systems on high-speed networks are needed Parallel techniques as an alternative [13] [14].

Syn flooding Attack is one of the most popular types of DDoS attacks known as TCP Syn Flood Attack. TCP Syn flood

attacks are generally done to reduce server resources [2]. The normal data sender from the client is done using Syn to synchronize to the destination server, after that the destination server will answer the client with an Ack form with evidence that the data is received. Present the Internet of Things (IoT) that will get a greater threat of attacks from DoS or DDoS attacks, so it is necessary to increase security against network attacks [15].

This type of attack the server will be filled with many Syn packages, if the client sends a Syn packet, the server also immediately sends Syn-Ack data to the client. Then the server continuously records and creates a backlog queue to interfere with the Ack response from the client sending the Syn packet, the backlog provided is very small. In the backlog queue when it is full, the system will not accommodate other TCP Syn packets that enter, resulting in the server hangs [16]. The TCP Syn-Ack packet queue in the backlog can only be wasted when the specified time is up, TCP and shows that there is no response from the sender. The TCP client connection to the server can be explained as follows:





Explanation of the image above addressing side A will send a packet with TCP Syn, sending by manipulating a fake address such as the Target side can be called IP Spoofing. The purpose of receiving the Syn shipment is then sent to the intended destination Syn-Ack while the storage request from side A in the queue will be processed if the target Ack is the side A. Because the process of sending Syn to Ack is repeated repeatedly, the server service becomes die. Like this attack on a network attack, it is called the Daniel of Service attack.

So that the server, which should work without stopping every day, will stop and cannot receive data packets sent from any side, even though the server must serve clients during day and night without stopping. With the occurrence of DoS attacks, the service is stopped, this is how attacks often occur on network security.

Syn Flooding attack is an attack on the server by sending a data packet to the server, after the server responds to the data packet and gives an answer signal to the client via the sender's IP address, it turns out the answer received by the server to reply to the client's reply is sent using a fake IP address, and this happens continuously, which causes the server to send an answer to the packet with a fake IP. This attack does not escape the reconnaissance server that will be used as an object. Technically Syn Flood occurs when there is a computer device connected to the server so that it is called TCP to the server, then the client sends it to the server then there is an exchange of information that usually happens like this. The client requests a connection to the server by sending

the Syn (Synchronize) code to the server, the server recognizes the acknowledges of this request by sending the Syn code to Ack, then returning the request to the client. The client sends back the received response and produces a connection between the client and the server.




Figure 2. Illustration of a Denial of Service attack using the SYN Flooding Attack method.

Syn Flooding usually attacks by sending a Syn packet to ports in the "Listening" condition or registered on the target port to be attacked. So that it will make a shipment by modifying the authenticity of the source until the target will respond to the Syn-Ack packet to the address registered to the Syn packet, then wait for the Acknowledgment data package. So that the attacked ports will hold until the buffer he receives holds the final expiration time, if the port accepts it will respond by sending the Syn / Ack package by the package sent then it will be processed by the operating system.



Figure 3. DDoS Attack attack efforts.

METHODOLOGY

Types of Research

This research is an experimental method that is carried out using Snort Applications which are added rules to explain the types of attacks that enter the network and detected by snort rules. Simulated using the Low Orbit Ion Cannon application which is used to attack the server with SYNflood, by determining the port to be attacked and the IP address that will be attacked so that it can make the system down. This research is a type of quantitative research, because research is carried out in the form of analysis, and verification of methods and the results obtained from testing emphasize the use of numbers and graphs. This research is an application that analyzes the rules of Snort and the synflood system [12].

Data Collection Methods

Data collection in this study was carried out for analytical material which would be an important part of the Snort rule analysis process. To have valid data, you must update Snort rules by using updates from www.snort.org

MODEL

Snort

Snort is a database that is used to create rules derived from other types of suspicious attacks [4]. Snort distribution applies a set of rules that can include known attacks such as buffer overflow or exploit distribution. If there are shortcomings or weaknesses in Snort, they will be added to the specified rules. In designing a network detection monitoring system that uses Snort. Snort distribution uses a set of rules that can close attacks. The Snort distribution is obtained from the extraction from the header attack and then the Snort distribution by collecting a rule that can close attacks or spread exploits. Snort rules will add to Snort if suspicious things are found. The detection is collected in the rules and stored in the database specified by Snort.

Snort is a suspicious activity detection system in a network system, which can analyze network traffic in real-time and provide alerts. Snort uses a system of rules that is relatively easier to learn when detecting and logging various attacks on computer networks. Snort can detect intrusions and can log packages against attacks. Snort is an open-source application based on the GNU (General Public License) so that it can be used and developed unpaid to get the source code. Snort is software that functions as a firewall and still runs commandline based.

Snort generally functions as follows:




Figure 4. Network scheme for Snort detectors.

Snort Rules

Snort Rules are rules that contain databases with attack patterns, Snort rules database must be updated frequently so that if there are new types of attack patterns can be found by Snort rules. In this discussion of Snort rules to prevent Syn Flood attacks, writing rules must be written in one line and Snort rules are divided into two: header rules and option rules containing actions, protocols, sources, and destination IP addresses, netmask, source, and destination ports. The regulatory option consists of a warning message and various information that forms the basis of the package, containing alert messages and various information where the package should be placed [17].

Rules Snort is a rule in the form of files whose names are adapted to the category of attacks, for example, viruses. Rules are files containing snort rules that detect packages containing viruses. The Snort rule functions as a standard rule as a detector of system data vulnerabilities analyzed.

All existing rules follow the rule format:

action protocol address port direction address port (rules option)

Examples of rules


alert tcp any any -> 10.20.100.253 23|(msg:" Ada Telnet!';sid:1000001;) Rules Header / Rules Options

Explanation of the snort Rules is divided into two parts, namely the rule header and rule options. The header rule contains details of the actions that occur if there is an attack signature that matches the rule while the rule option is detailed about the value of the data package. This will generate an alert if there is a TCP activity that enters IP 10,20.100.253 with port number 23. Rule Header is a rule that must exist in the snort rule format, while the option rule is an additional rule that functions as an attack rule identity.

An action is an action for an action that takes place in the form of "alert." The choice of action is "log" or "alert". Action "alert" will write all alert data to the same notification or "alert" file. While the log will store traffic for each problematic IP address in a folder for further analysis. In the development of snort mode, the alert action will be replaced with an action drop to filter incoming traffic.

The protocol section can be filled in "TCP", "UDP", or "ICMP". All packages (Any) that go to telnet with a port (port 23) are not allowed. Port can use range and operator "!" To machine 10.20.100.253 Address can be CIDR notation and additional readable words, such as "There is Telnet!", And sid - rule ID starts from 1000000 as snort id number.

The rules for making rules are used to prevent SYNflood attacks so that they can be applied to snort rules.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Discussion Snort types of attacks often occur as part of a system intrusion that can be in the form of scanning Nmap ports, LOIC, SQL Injection, and database access [18]. Some technical aspects must be elaborated such as: How the system handles a variety of effective security that is faced with a high rate of growth in the volume of data, and how aspects of information security will be addressed [19]. Rule Snort is a Snort rule that contains databases with attack patterns.



Figure 6. Low Orbit Ion Cannon

Low Orbit Ion Cannon is an application that is used to attack a server with SYNflood by selecting the port that will be attacked and which IP Address will be attacked so that it can turn off the system. After the Snort rule is generated, to get results, that the resulting rules can prevent SYNflood attacks, the testing process is carried out. The client acting as the attacker sends an SYN packet to the port that is open on the target server. After testing the SYNflood attack, network traffic that was successfully blocked by the existing system can be known. Of course, the system cannot be penetrated and can be prevented by the rules that have been produced [20].

Test without Snort rules and use rules:

In this test shows the difference between active Snort without rule and active Snort with a rule when synflood attacks.



Figure 7. Testing without Snort rules

It can be seen in the picture there is no visible Alert or detection and prevention when sending packets with TCP SYN flood LOIC.

07/22-02:44:20.959254 [**] [1:1600008:0] Ada yang ECHO PING [**] [Priority: 0]
{ICMP} 10.20.100.229 -> 10.20.100.253
07/22-02:44:20.959288 [**] [1:1000009:0] Ada yang ECHO REPLY PING [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {ICMP} 10.20.100.253 -> 10.20.100.229
07/22-02:44:21.962710 [**] [1:1000008:0] Ada yang ECHO PING [**] [Priority: 0]
{ICMP} 10.20.100.229 -> 10.20.100.253
07/22-02:44:21.962754 [**] [1:1000009:0] Ada yang ECHO REPLY PING [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {ICMP} 10.20.100.253 -> 10.20.100.229
07/22-02:44:22.967719 [**] [1:1000008:0] Ada yang ECHO PING [**] [Priority: 0]
{ICMP} 10.20.100.229 -> 10.20.100.253
07/22-02:44:22.967760 [**] [1:1000009:0] Ada yang ECHO REPLY PING [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {ICMP} 10.20.100.253 -> 10.20.100.229
07/22-02:44:23.972668 [**] [1:1000008:0] Ada yang ECHO PING [**] [Priority: 0]
{ICMP} 10.20,100.229 -> 10.20.100.253
07/22-02:44:23.972704 [**] [1:1000009:0] Ada yang ECHO REPLY PING [**] [Priorit
y: 0] (ICMP) 10.20.100.253 -> 10.20.100.229
07/22-02:44:53.878265 [**] [1:1000004:1] ada yang konensi ke html [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {TCP} 10.20.100.229:10482 -> 114.4.164.89:80
07/22-02:44:53.880062 [**] [1:1000004:1] ada yang konensi ke html [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {TCP} 10.20.100.229:10482 -> 114.4.164.89:80
07/22-02:46:59.068364 [**] [1:1000004:1] ada yang konensi ke html [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {TCP} 10.20.100.229:10490 -> 114.5.1.233:80
07/22-02:46:59.070140 [**] [1:1000004:1] ada yang konensi ke html [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {TCP} 10.20.100.229:10490 -> 114.5.1.233:80
07/22-02:46:59.070312 [**] [1:1000004:1] ada yang konensi ke html [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {TCP} 10.20.100.229:10490 -> 114.5.1.233:80
07/22-02:46:59.072570 [**] [1:1000004:1] ada yang konensi ke html [**] [Priorit
y: 0] {TCP} 10.20.100.229:10490 -> 114.5.1.233:80
07/22-02:46:59.072576 [**] [1:1000004:1] ada yang konensi ke html [**] [Priorit
<u>y</u> : 0] {TCP} 10.20.100.229:10490 -> 114.5.1.233:80

Figure 8. Testing using Snort rules

Alert or detection and prevention are performed successfully when the Attacker attacks the TCP SYN flood, which indicates that the snort rule has successfully detected and prevented the Drop on the console.

Traffic Measurement

DOS attacks can consume memory and bandwidth resources, so you need to analyze Network Traffic to find out how much bandwidth is spent by DOS when testing for 1 minute, testing is done by attackers 1 and attackers 2 compared to active Snort rules and Snort rules are not active.

Usable Value Resources Bandwidth table

Attack1 Per minute	Snort is not active	Snort Active	Attack1 Per minute	Snort is not active	Snort Active
SI T	13,45	10,32	1	19,13	17,01
B ₂	14,55	11,31	2	13,32	11,34
3	18,85	12,52	3	11,76	10,01
4	18,95	12,47	4	15,65	13,89
5	13,35	12,78	5	18,04	14,75
6	15,67	11,07	6	20,11	16,34
7	18,32	13,82	7	17,84	14,53

8	12,75	10,31	8	14,64	12,34
9	14,54	11,02	9	14,34	12,36
10	13,65	10,43	10	14,32	12,31
Average	<mark>15,41</mark>	11,61	Rata- rata	<mark>15,92</mark>	<mark>13,49</mark>

According to the results of the following table, the average value of the bandwidth used in the event of a Snort rules attack is not active and Snort rules are active.

The scenario of an attack on snort rules 1 inactive Attacker generated an average value of used bandwidth of 15.41 Mbps and when the active snort rules average value of bandwidth used is 11.61 Mbps.

In the scenario of Attacker 2 attack, when the snort rules are inactive, the average value of used bandwidth is 15.92 Mbps when the active snort rules are used for an average bandwidth value of 13.49 Mbps.

The presentation of attacker test 1 saves 25%, while attacker testing to 2 saves 15% Slightly different the amount of bandwidth used during testing with snort inactive and snort active within 10 minutes counted 1 minute on the network.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Based on the test results, Snort rules to prevent Synflood attacks on network security were successfully tested and resulted in Snort rule conditions that were better able to handle SYNflood attacks. It was concluded that the creation of the Snort rule developed had functioned well in preventing SYNflood attacks. Rules that have been created with the Snort rule system can recognize and resist TCP SYN flood attacks. So that it makes it easier for Administrators to get data in addition to increasing network security from Sys flooding attacks and makes it easier for administrators to make policies improve based on logs in Snort.

In the future, what needs to be developed is Snort Rule which is formulated integrated with the application so that it makes it easier or easier to display the log database because, in this discussion it has not been made, it is necessary to develop applications that are integrated with the Snort rule database.

REFERENCES

- T. Ubale and A. K. Jain, "SRL : An TCP SYNFLOOD DDoS Mitigation Approach in Software-Defined Networks," 2018 Second Int. Conf. Electron. Commun. Aerosp. Technol., no. Iceca, pp. 956–962, 2018.
- [2] N. Jongsawat and J. Decharoenchitpong, "Creating

behavior-based rules for snort based on Bayesian network learning algorithms," *Proc. 2015 Int. Conf. Sci. Technol. TICST 2015*, pp. 267–270, 2015.


- [3] R. T. Gaddam and M. Nandhini, "An analysis of various snort based techniques to detect and prevent intrusions in networks," *Proc. Int. Conf. Inven. Commun. Comput. Technol. ICICCT 2017*, no. Icicet, pp. 10–15, 2017.
- [4] C. Turner and A. Joseph, "A Statistical and Cluster Analysis Exploratory Study of Snort Rules," *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 114, pp. 106–115, 2017.
- [5] S. Hameed and H. A. Khan, "Leveraging SDN for collaborative DDoS mitigation," 2017 Int. Conf. Networked Syst. NetSys 2017, no. March, 2017.
- [6] A. Motivaciones, "Security for WI SP through Mikrotik equ uipment krotik)," 2015 Chil. Conf. Electr. Electron. Eng. Inf. Commun. Technol., pp. 229–233, 2015.
- [7] R. F. Olanrewaju, B. U. Islam Khan, A. R. Najeeb, K. N. A. Ku Zahir, and S. Hussain, "Snort-based Smart and Swift Intrusion Detection System," *Indian J. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 1–9, 2018.
- [8] D. Ibdah, M. Kanani, N. Lachtar, N. Allan, and B. Al-Duwairi, "On the security of SDN-enabled smartgrid systems," 2017 Int. Conf. Electr. Comput. Technol. Appl. ICECTA 2017, vol. 2018-Janua, pp. 1–5, 2018.
- [9] P. Singh, S. Behal, and K. Kumar, "Performance enhancement of a Malware Detection System using score based prioritization of snort rules," *Proc. 2015 Int. Conf. Green Comput. Internet Things, ICGCIoT* 2015, pp. 1150–1155, 2016.
- [10] C. Networks, S. K. Patel, and A. Sonker, "Internet Protocol Identification Number based Ideal Stealth Port Scan Detection using Snort," 2016.
- [11] Y. Yin, Y. Wang, and N. Takahashi, "Set-based calculation of topological relations between snort rules," *Proc. 2014 2nd Int. Symp. Comput. Networking, CANDAR 2014*, pp. 617–619, 2014.
- [12] M. Sadikin, R. Yusuf, and A. R. Dwiyanto, "Load Balancing Clustering on Moodle LMS to Overcome Performance Issue of e-Learning System," *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Comput. Electron. Control.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 281–289, 2019.
- [13] F. I. Shiri, B. Shanmugam, and N. B. Idris, "A parallel technique for improving the performance of signaturebased network intrusion detection system," A Parallel Tech. Improv. Perform. Signature-Based Netw. Intrusion Detect. Syst., pp. 692–696, 2011.
- [14] I. Nurhaida and Ngadiyono, "Quality of Service for Traffic Monitoring System based on Static Routing using EoIP Tunnel over IPSec," no. 1, pp. 91–99, 2019.
- [15] S. S. Bhunia and M. Gurusamy, "Dynamic Attack Detection and Mitigation in IoT using SDN," 2017.
- [16] A. Masys, "Networks and network analysis for defence and security," pp. 1479–1480, 2014.

- [17] N. Khamphakdee, N. Benjamas, and S. Saiyod, "Improving intrusion detection system based on Snort rules for network probe attack detection," 2014 2nd Int. Conf. Inf. Commun. Technol. ICoICT 2014, pp. 69–74, 2014.
- [18] N. Suteva, A. Mileva, and M. Loleski, "Computer Forensic Analisys of Some Web Attacks," pp. 42–47, 2014.
- [19] A. Garg, "Performance Analysis of Snort-based Intrusion Detection System," pp. 0–4, 2016.
- [20] I. Coonjah and P. C. Catherine, "Performance Evaluation and Analysis of Layer 3 Tunneling between OpenSSH and OpenVPN in a Wide Area Network Environment," pp. 1–4, 2015.

UNIVERSITAS MERCU BUANA

LAMPIRAN KORESPONDENSI

Bukti Submit Jurnal & Korespondensi dengan Penerbit



No. 6, Jatisampu	urna, Bekasi Tel.	Tel. 021-5840815 F 021-8449635	Fax. 021-586413	11
No. 6, Jatisampu o. 29, Jakarta Pu	urna, Bekasi Tel.			
o. 29, Jakarta Pu		021-8449635		
	usat Tel. 021-319			
id.	and services and	35454 Fax. 021-319	34474	
10.10				
tp://www.ijcaonline	e.org/calls-			
	0041@student.mer	cubuana.ac.id>	Tue	, Jul 9, 2019 at 2:14 PM
response.				
)		caonline.org>	DENUR <41515110041@student.mercubuana.ac.id> caonline.org>	DENUR <41515110041@student.mercubuana.ac.id> Tue, caonline.org>

MERCU BUANA

Korenpondensi Penerbit status Accept with minor revision



Thank you for your association with IJCA.

Best regards,

Editorial Support Team, International Journal of Computer Applications, Foundation of Computer Science, New York, USA. www.ijcaonline.org

Call for Paper (Open): http://www.ijcaonline.org/calls-

3 attachments JICA Paper Template.docx 27K

- Payment Instructions.pdf 418K
- Review Report 2019919283.doc 170K

KARMADENUR KARMADENUR <41515110041@student.mercubuana.ac.id> To: Editor IJCA <editor@jicaonline.org> Cc: Karma denur <karma.denur@gmail.com>, raka@mercubuana.ac.id

Dear Editor IJCA,

Thank you for replying to the email, I will process immediately.

Best regards Karmadenur

[Quoted text hidden]

Wed, Jul 24, 2019 at 10:02 PM

MERCU BUANA

http://digilib.mercubuana.ac.id/



REFEREE'S REPORT: SUMMARY SHEET

Paper Title: Analysis of Snort Rules to Prevent Synflood Attacks on Network Security

EVALUATION CRITERIA	SCORE (0-10)
1. Relevance of Topic	7
2. Scholarly Quality	
3. English Usage	6
4. Use of Theory	.7
5. Novelty and Originality of the idea	7
	6
6. Technical Content and Correctness	7
7. Critical Qualities	6
8. Clarity of Conclusions	6
TOTAL SCORE %	65%

RECOMMENDATION


[] ACCEPT

[⇔] ACCEPT WITH MINOR REVISIONS * Accept with minor revisions: 55-75% [] ACCEPT WITH MAJOR REVISIONS [] REJECT

The following are indicative score ranges: Accept (without qualification): 75-100% Accept with major revisions 35-54% Reject: Below 35%

UNIVERSITAS **MERCU BUANA**

©International Journal of Computer Applications. All rights reserved.



MERCU BUANA

©International Journal of Computer Applications. All rights reserved.

http://digilib.mercubuana.ac.id/

IJCA PAYMENT INSTRUCTIONS

The payment can be transferred using PayPal or Wire/Electronic mode.

1. PayPal

Please use the "IJCA Payment Gateway" powered by PayPal Ltd, USA to transfer the processing fee amount.	Payments are accepted through our <u>PayPal</u> <u>account</u> at www.paypal.com.
--	--

2. WIRE TRANSFER

The payment can be transferred using Wire/Electronic mode to any of the below mentioned banks as per your convenience.

TD BANK NORTH AMERICA	Account No.: 4312257937 SWIFT Code: NRTHUS33XXX ABA/ Routing number: 031101266			
	Recipient: Foundation of Computer Science Inc.			

cover processing fees.

Please include your "Paper Reference ID" in your WIRE (Transaction Remarks).

IMP: Send a note at editor@ijcaonline.org after the transfer with following details and date bearing the subject line "IJCA Payment: August 2019".

TAS

SIIANA

- Bank name and address
 Transaction ID
- 3. Copy of transaction receipt
- 4. Transaction remark, if any
- 5. Senders name
- 6. Date of deposit/transfer For inquiries, please email us at editor@ijcaonline.org.



KARMADENUR KARMADENUR <41515110041@student.mercubuana.ac.id>

IJCA August 2019 Edition: Letter of Acceptance

Editor IJCA <editor@ijcaonline.org> To: KARMADENUR KARMADENUR <41515110041@student.mercubuana.ac.id> Fri, Aug 2, 2019 at 10:37 PM

Dear Karmadenur,

This is to acknowledge that we have received the **payment**, **CRC** and **copyrights** towards publication of your paper with reference ID- **2019919283**. Find attached the payment invoice/ receipt for the same. The paper will be published and available on IJCA DL from **August 20, 2019**.

Feel free to contact us for any inquiry.

Regards, Editorial Support Team, International Journal of Computer Applications, Foundation of Computer Science, New York, USA. www.ijcaonline.org

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

MERCU BUANA

CURRICULUM VITAE



Name	: KARMADENUR
Place and date of bird	: JEPARA 28 AGUSTUS 1969
Sex	: LAKI-LAKI
Nationality	: INDONESIA
Marital Status	: MENIKAH
ID Number	: 3674022808690005
Pasport Number	:
Email	: karma.denur@gmail.com
Religion	: Islam
Address	: Graha Raya Bintaro H3/6 Pakujaya

Serpong Utara

Phone Number/Mobile : 0811133032

EDUCATION

Elementary School	: SD Negeri Jepara
Secondary School	: SMP Kosgoro Jep <mark>a</mark> ra
Senior High School	: STM Bakti Praja Jepara
University	: Universitas Mercu Buana
Degree Awarded	: S1
Faculty	: Ilmu Komuter
Title of thesis	: Anali <mark>sis Aturan Sn</mark> o <mark>rt unt</mark> uk Mencegah Serangan Synflood pada
Keam	anan Jaringan
GPA	: 3.33
SKILLS	

Language : English Score Toefl :

ORGANIZATION EXPERIENCE

No. of Years		Title	Name of Organization
4 Tahun	Sekretaris	VERSIT	RW

WORK EXPERIENCE

No. of	Position	Employer
Years		
5 Tahun	IT Support	Topan Rijanto

ACTIVITIES NATIONAL/INTERNATIONAL


No. of Years	Position	Employer

ACHIEVEMENT

No. of Years	Position	Employer

HOBBIES Sports : Lari, berenang Various :

LEMBAR ASISTENSI



NO	TGL.	KETERANGAN	PARAF	NO	TGL.	KETERANGAN	PARAF
3.	est m	Olec. Payiliha penulim Librat Quail	w				
.4	17/6_19	Semailion de foraunt Jurnal Lighan .	m	÷			
IT	24/6-9	Filalen Oalter Siday!	M				
[#	57-19	ace up Submit Jume.	m	-			
	*						
	N		R	S		ΑS	
	1	TERCE			U		